Appendix D

Shareholder/Proxy Name		Questions		Answers
TEH KIAN LANG	(A)	(A) Business Outlook in next 3 months.	(A)	Notwithstanding the positive outlook of the oil & gas industry in near future, the Group had been adversely impacted by the price differential between Emir-Oil's export sale price and the global benchmark Brent oil price caused by the sanctions on Russia. To address the adverse impact caused by Russia-Ukraine War, the Group had taken initiatives to improve productivity and efficiency.
	(B)	E Door Gifts.	(B)	Unfortunately, the Company would not be providing any door gifts as the Company is conserving funds to be reinvested into the Company for development and expansion plans.
TEH KIAN LANG		Is REACH facing any production restrictions from the Kazakhstan Government due to breaches of any rules?		The Company is not facing any production restrictions.
TEH KIAN LANG		What is the plan of the substantial shareholder after they converted the loan to equity? Will see a turnaround next year?		Super Racer Limited ("SRL") intends to grow the Company ,the asset and to increase the production. To grow the asset, the Company would need to drill more wells to be able to extract more oil as soon as possible.
TEH KIAN LANG		When will REACH report profit after the shareholder has converted the loan to equity?		There is no specific timeline that the Company could share at the moment. Upon completion of the proposals, REACH intends to continue pursuing the implementation of EOTP which aims at steering our Group back to profitability by focusing on rebuilding, transformation, growth and expansion
CHUA SONG YUN		Why FY2022 Q3 production seems to further deteriorate to 1,830 bopd, which is significantly lower than 2,321 bopd for 2021 Q3?		The lower production volume in Q3FY2022 was due to the unavailability of the workover rig at the scheduled time. This situation mainly arising from Russian-Ukraine war where supply of equipment become challenging.
CHUA SONG YUN		Will the price cap on Russia oil have any impact on REACH?		The price cap on Russian oil will give more challenges to REACH'S negotiation of price differential.
CHUA SONG YUN		Reach could sell some non-core assets to bring in capital to ramp up the production. Instead of doing so, the Board and Management decided to swap debt with new shares with issue price at a big discount to net asset value. The said proposal does not seem to solve the cash strap problem, and will also dilute the net asset value, which will be disadvantageous to existing shareholders. Please explain why the board resorted to this solution, instead of focusing on selling non-core assets to raise capital?		Notwithstanding the issue price is at a discount to the net asset value of Reach, the Company would require substantial funding to realise the value of such assets. In the event the funding commitment is not obtained from SRL, REACH may be required to write down assets to its immediate realisable value. In such event, the net asset per REACH Share may be reduced and as a result, the discount of the issue price of the Settlement Shares to the net asset per REACH Share will be reduced as well. Furthermore, the issue price represents a premium of more than 100% to the share price of REACH over the past year. After due consideration of other options, the Board is of the view that the Proposed Debt Settlement is the most appropriate avenue to assist the Company in fulfilling the obligation to repay the Debt.

Appendix D

Shareholder/Proxy Name		Questions		Answers
CHUA SONG YUN		Page vi of the circular stated that the proposed debt settlement amounting to RM206.5 million represent 76% from the debt, however, a check of FY2022 Q3 report shows that the total debt are at RM842 million: a) What is total outstanding debt after this transaction? b) What is the expected interest cost per year? c) How does management intend to deal with these debts?		The proposed debt settlement amounting to RM206.5 million represents 76% of the total debt owed by REB to SRL and not the total debt of REB. a) The total outstanding debt owed to SRL after this transaction amounted to approximately USD15.9 million or RM72.8 million. b)After this transaction, the interest rate of the remaining debt will be revised from 14% to 5%, which amounted to approximately RM3.6 million per annum. c) REB and SRL is of the view that the outlook and prospect of the oil and gas industry is expected to be positive, REB intends to repay the remaining debt via REB's internally generated fund once it turns profitable.
CHUA SONG YUN		Who is Mr Cheung Siu Fai ("Mr Cheiung") and SRL? Are they related to MIE Holdings Corporation ("MIEH")?		Mr Cheung and SRL are not related to MIEH. SRL is an investment holding company wholly owned by Mr Cheung.
HO YUEH WENG		Is this proposal in the best interest of the Company? How and when will it bring sustainable growth and profitability going forward since too many false promises has been made to shareholders? What is the alternative or further action if this proposal fails to get through?		Other avenues of fund raising exercises to raise sufficient funds to repay the Debt such as a rights issue may not be suitable for the Group considering the following:- - is in loss-making position for the past 3 years. - require the Company to identify shareholders with the ability and willingness to provide irrevocable undertakings to subscribe for a minimum number of rights shares or, - to procure an underwriter in order to achieve a minimum subscription level in would incur additional costs to the Group. - a rights issue exercise will likely take significantly more time to implement. Besides, a significant fund raising exercise for purposes of fulfilling the Company's obligation to repay the deferred Purchase Consideration would involve the issuance of greater numbers of REACH's Shares than the Settlement Shares given that the Shares would be issued at an issue price based on the current market price of REB Shares, being the 5-day Volume Weighted Average Price ("VWAP") of REACH's Shares of RM0.0351 as at the Latest Practicable date ("LPD"). Hence, such a fund raising exercise would potentially result in an even greater dilution to the existing shareholders' shareholdings than the Proposed Debt Settlement. Therefore, the Board is of the view that the said proposal is in the best option for the Company.